Water content trends in low-mass multiplanetary systems





Lorena Acuña

Postdoctoral researcher Atmospheric Physics of Exoplanets (APEx) department Max-Planck Institute for Astronomy

Magali Deleuil, Olivier Mousis, Theo Lopez, Thierry Morel Artem Aguichine, Emmanuel Marcq, Alexandre Santerne









The composition of low-mass exoplanets

- Low-mass planets present two subpopulations based on radius and composition
 - Super-Earths: dominated by silicates and iron \bullet (Fe)
 - Sub-Neptunes: volatile-rich
- Open questions:
 - Super-Earths: bare rock or rocky + thin atmosphere?
 - Volatiles in sub-Neptunes: H/He, water, or both?



Fulton et al. 2017, 2018





Why planetary interior models?

- Mostly used to calculate mass-radius diagrams to interpret data
- Support to atmospheric modelling. Ex:
 - clouds (GJ1214 b: Gao et al. 2023)
 - stellar contamination (TRAPPIST-1: Zhang et al. 2018, Ducrot et al. 2018)
 - degeneracies in chemical species (K2-18 b: Bézard et al. 2022, Tsiaras et el. 2016)
- Degeneracies in interior models: different compositions can explain the mass and radius of one planet









Marseille's Super-Earth Interior Model (MSEI)







Interior-atmosphere interface

Atmosphere base is at 300 bar. Atmosphere-supercritical interface



Mantle



0.50 AU 0.30 AU 0.15 AU 0.10 AU 0.05 AU

Interior-atmosphere coupling: atmospheric model

• RADCONV1D: Atmospheric model by Marcq et al. 2017, Pluriel et al. 2019





Radiative-convective equilibrium (RCE)











Multiplanetary systems

- low-mass planets, their formation and evolution.
- constrain their **formation** and evolution



Multiplanetary systems are environments suitable to explore the compositional diversity of

• Aim: Explore the compositional diversity of low-mass planets, in a homogeneous analysis to

Perform MCMC retrievals on mass and radius data to obtain posteriors for CMF and WMF

Leleu et al. 2021



Multiplanetary systems

- Selection:
 - Low-mass planets ($M < 20 M_{\oplus}$)
 - Systems with 5 or more planets
- Final sample: TRAPPIST-1 (Acuña et al. 2021)
 K2-138 (new RV masses by T. Lopez)
 TOI-178
 Kepler-11
 Kepler-102
 Kepler-80





TRAPPIST-1: Water mass fractions

- Planets b and c:
 - Most likely no atmosphere (see also talk by Elsa Ducrot, Greene et al. 2023, Ih et al. 2023)
 - Max. Surface pressure (T-1 c) = 80 bar (Acuña et al. 2023)







TRAPPIST-1: Water mass fractions

- Planets b and c:
 - Most likely no atmosphere (see also talk by Elsa Ducrot, Greene et al. 2023, Ih et al. 2023)
 - Max. Surface pressure (T-1 c) = 80 bar (Acuña et al. 2023)
- <u>Planet d:</u>
 - Observed mass and radius also compatible with with water vapor in a CO₂-dominated envelope (up to 300 bar)







TRAPPIST-1: Water mass fractions

- Planets b and c:
 - Most likely no atmosphere (see also talk by Elsa Ducrot, Greene et al. 2023, Ih et al. 2023)
 - Max. Surface pressure (T-1 c) = 80 bar (Acuña et al. 2023)
- <u>Planet d:</u>
 - Observed mass and radius also compatible with with water vapor in a CO₂-dominated envelope (up to 300 bar)
- WMF increases with distance from star







Water mass fraction in multiplanetary systems









Water mass fraction in multiplanetary systems

• TRAPPIST-1 and K2-138: clear increasing WMF trend





TRAPPIST-1 K2-138



Water mass fraction in multiplanetary systems

- TRAPPIST-1 and K2-138: clear increasing WMF trend
- **Diversity in WMF trends**
- All systems have in common:
 - **Inner planets** tend to be dry
 - Volatile-rich (water or H/He planets) are in outer part





TRAPPIST-1 K2-138 **TOI-178** Kepler-80 Kepler-102 Kepler-11



H/He envelopes

- Some planets are not compatible with water envelopes in hydrostatic equilibrium
- We quantify the likelihood of water envelopes:
 - $R_{water} < R_{observed}$: empty markers
- H/He envelope, atmospheric escape, or both?
 - We estimate Jeans and XUV atmospheric escape in the energy-limited approximation (Aguichine et al. 2021)



K2-138 **TOI-178** Kepler-11



Planet formation in multiplanetary systems

• Planets with $R_{water} < R_{observed}$:

Dif

- Planets with H/He envelopes are in out part of system.
- Some planets undergo Jeans escape
- Planet formation mechanisms:
 - Volatile-rich, outer planets: formation in the vicinity of water ice line
 - Rocky, inner planets: formation close to refractory (Fe,Si) lines. Jeans and XUV atmospheric escape.

renc	e betweer	between R _{water} and R _{observed}				Mass lost du Jeans esca	
•	System	Planet	CMF	WMF	d _{obs-ret}	$\Delta M_{H2} \left[M_{\oplus} ight]$	
	K2-138	b	0.27 ± 0.02	$0.000^{+0.007}_{-0.000}$	1.5σ	0.132	
		С	$0.23 {\pm} 0.02$	0.13 ± 0.04	$<1\sigma$	< 0.01	
		d	0.22 ± 0.03	$0.17 {\pm} 0.05$	$<1\sigma$	< 0.01	
		e	0.11 ± 0.02	$0.57 {\pm} 0.08$	<1 <i>σ</i>	< 0.01	
		f	0.11 ± 0.02	0.60 ± 0.07	<1 <i>-</i> 0	< 0.01	
		g	0.12 ± 0.05	0.55 ± 0.18	1.3σ	< 0.01	
	TOI-178	b	0.21±0.30	0	<1 <i>σ</i>	0.83	
		С	0.30±0.02	$0.02^{+0.04}_{-0.02}$	<1 <i>o</i>	< 0.01	
		d	0.10 ± 0.01	0.69 ± 0.05	1.3σ	0.16	
		e	0.18 ± 0.02	$0.40 {\pm} 0.06$	<1 <i>o</i>	< 0.01	
		\mathbf{f}	0.22±0.03	0.28 ± 0.10	<1 <i>o</i>	< 0.01	
		g	0.10 ± 0.01	0.58 ± 0.16	3.0σ	< 0.01	
	Kepler-11	b	0.20 ± 0.04	0.27 ± 0.10	<1 <i>σ</i>	< 0.01	
		С	$0.18 {\pm} 0.01$	$0.33 {\pm} 0.04$	1.7σ	< 0.01	
		d	$0.10 {\pm} 0.02$	0.65 ± 0.05	2.4σ	< 0.01	
		e	0.12 ± 0.01	0.55 ± 0.04	4.4σ	< 0.01	
		\mathbf{f}	0.14 ± 0.06	0.47 ± 0.10	1.9σ	0.56	
	Kepler-102	b	$0.91^{+0.09}_{-0.16}$	0	<1 <i>o</i>	0.13	_
		С	$0.95_{-0.30}^{+0.05}$	0	$<1\sigma$	0.10	
		d	0.80 ± 0.14	0	$<1\sigma$	< 0.01	
		e	0.22 ± 0.02	0.17 ± 0.07	<1 <i>o</i>	0.01	
		f	0.27 ± 0.09	$0.04 {\pm} 0.04$	<1 <i>o</i>	0.02	
	Kepler-80	d	$0.97 \substack{+0.03 \\ -0.05}$	0	<1 <i>σ</i>	< 0.01	_
		e	0.43 ± 0.18	0	<1 <i>σ</i>	< 0.01	
		b	0.13 ± 0.02	$0.58 {\pm} 0.07$	<1 <i>σ</i>	< 0.01	
	-	с	$0.09 {\pm} 0.01$	$0.70 {\pm} 0.04$	<1 <i>σ</i>	< 0.01	
		g	0.31 ± 0.02	$< 1.5 \times 10^{-3}$	<1 <i>σ</i>	140	





- systems:
 - volatile-rich planets.
 - Jeans escape.
 - H/He envelopes.

• Our homogeneous analysis on the composition of planets in multiplanetary

show a clear separation between the inner, dry planets, and the outer,

 our CMF and WMF estimates can be used to constrain formation site with respect to ice and refractory lines, and formation mechanisms, such as

• Inner planets typical WMF < 5%. Moderately volatile-rich sub-Neptunes have WMF = 10 - 25%. Sub-Neptunes with WMF > 30% are good candidates for

