# Predicting the electromagnetic signatures of pre-merger binary black holes

Raphaël Mignon-Risse<sup>1</sup>

**CNES** Fellow

Raphael.mignon-risse@apc.in2p3.fr

<sup>1</sup>AstroParticule and Cosmologie, Université de Paris





Collaborators: P. Varniere, F. Casse, A. Coleiro (APC, Paris)

## Electromagnetic counterpart to BBH fusion



Need a gas-rich environment: e.g. galaxy merger, tidal disruption event or « fallback disk » following supernova explosion



#### • Binary black holes and their coalescence

- Galaxy growth vs black hole growth
- Speed of gravity
- Hubble tension
- Formation of active galactic nuclei?



# Electromagnetic follow-up after a LISA detection



- LISA: space-based gravitational wave detector
  0.1mHz-1Hz band
  - SMBBH up to merger
  - Stellar-mass BH in early pre-merger stage only

#### How to distinguish binary black holes from other (transient) sources ?

# Modelling a BBH and its circumbinary disk

- **GR-AMRVAC** code (Keppens+12, GR: Casse+17)
- How does the fluid know about the binary black hole?
  - Newtonian gravity ? (e.g. D'Orazio+13)
  - Solving the Einstein's equations ? (e.g. Einstein Toolkit, Löffler+12)





Implement an approximate, analytical BBH spacetime (Mignon-Risse et al. 2022, MNRAS)

Still, a computationally-heavy, and conceptually more complex, construction (see e.g. Ireland+16):

$$g_{00} + 1 = \frac{2m_1}{r} + \frac{m_1}{r} \left\{ v_1^2 - \frac{m_2}{b} + 2\left(\vec{v}_1 \cdot \hat{n}\right)^2 - \frac{2m}{r} + 6\frac{(\vec{x}_1 \cdot \hat{n})}{r}\left(\vec{v}_1 \cdot \hat{n}\right) - \frac{x_1^2}{r^2} + \frac{(\vec{x}_1 \cdot \hat{n})^2}{r^2} \left(3 - 2r^2\omega^2\right) \right\} + (1 \leftrightarrow 2) + O(v^5),$$

Construction valid until the BBH motion becomes relativistic

#### Results: Accretion structures



Surface density

#### For $q \ge 0.1$

- 1. A cavity at ~2x orbital separation  $r_{12}$ (Artymowicz+94)
- 2. Streams (Artymowicz+96) & spiral arms

and further in time...

3. An overdensity, or « lump » (e.g. Shi+12, Noble+12)

#### Pre-merger electromagnetic features ?

# Detecting binary black holes thanks to these accretion structures ?

Synthetic observations through GR ray-tracing

## Synthetic observations of pre-merger BBHs

- **GYOTO** code (Vincent+11) incorporating the **BBH** approximate metric (Ireland+16)
- This pipeline forms eNOVAs: extended Numerical Observatory for Violent Accreting systems The first European pipeline of its kind, second worldwide (see D'Ascoli+18)
- Thermal emission, thin disk approximation (Shakura & Sunyaev, 1973)
- Mass scaling using Lin+13 (M =  $10^5 M_{\odot}$ ;  $T_{in} = 0.1 \text{ keV}$ ) as reference
- Obtain the multi-wavelength emission map





# Impact of the cavity

# Is a BBH hidden behind a single BH with a far away disk?

- Disk inner edge set at the innermost stable circular orbit (ISCO) in single BHs
  - $\blacktriangleright$  Highest-energy contribution to the spectrum at 6  $r_g$
- Circumbinary disk edge settles around  $\sim 2 r_{12}$  in BBHs, e.g.  $\sim 30 r_g$  here





# Impact of the lump & spiral arms

# Timing features



 $q = 0.3; r_{12} = 36r_g$ 





• Additional modulation at half the orbital period

$$P_{\rm orb} = 0.3 \frac{M}{10^6 M_{\odot}} \, \rm ks$$

 $P_{\text{lump}} \sim 1.5 \frac{M}{10^6 M_{\odot}} \text{ks}$ 

- Accretion rate through the cavity modulated at the orbital period
- Flux is normalized by the mean value  $\Rightarrow$  mass-independent lightcurve
- The main modulation of the lightcurve is produced by the lump

# Conclusions: observational features of BBHs

• Development of eNOVAs:

First European pipeline from fluid simulations to synthetic obs. in dynamical spacetimes (Mignon-Risse et al. 2022, MNRAS)

- Accretion structures typical of BBHs: streams, cavity, overdensity/«lump» (e.g. Noble+12, Shi+12) (Lump origin model: Mignon-Risse et al. 2023, MNRAS)
- Periodic behaviour at i) the semi-orbital period and ii) at the «lump» period (e.g. D'Orazio+13)
  Two-timescale modulation, dominated by the «lump» modulation

(MR+23, in prep.)

• In any case, knowing the (B)BH mass is <u>crucial</u>

➢ What remains of these EM signatures when the BBH inspirals towards merger? To be continued...

## Metric validation



# Why using a GR ray-tracing code ?

timpact

i=5°

Vincent+13

#### > Ray-tracing:

Influence of source inclination on timing features associated with non-axisymmetries in the disk



#### **GR** effects:

. . .

Lensing (see e.g. Davelaar+22) time dilation



Incorporates the same BBH metric as the fluid code

# Excising the innermost region?

The flux from possible individual disks

- may not dominate the integrated flux depends on their surface density, temperature, the BBH orbital separation...
- peak in a higher-energy band
- varies on binary orbital timescales, much shorter than the « lump's » period



## The « lump » presence in the literature



### Lump: an instability origin ?



The Rossby Wave Instability as a possible origin for the « lump » (MR+23, MNRAS)

17/15

# Lump: an instability origin ?



The Rossby Wave Instability as a possible origin for the « lump » (MR+23 MNRAS)